arXiv:1403.7240v1 [math.AC] 27 Mar 2014

GENERALIZED KOSZUL PROPERTIES OF COMMUTATIVE LOCAL RINGS

JUSTIN HOFFMEIER AND LIANA M. ŞEGA

Abstract. We study several properties of commutative local rings that generalize the notion of Koszul algebra. The properties are expressed in terms of the Ext algebra of the ring, or in terms of homological properties of powers of the maximal ideal of the ring. We analyze relationships between these properties and we identify large classes of rings that satisfy them. In particular, we prove that the Ext algebra of a compressed Gorenstein local ring of even socle degree is generated in degrees 1 and 2.

Introduction

Since being introduced by Priddy [17], Koszul algebras have been widely studied in both commutative and noncommutative settings. The notion of Koszul algebra has a natural extension to commutative noetherian local rings R with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and residue field $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$. Namely, we say that the local ring R is *Koszul* if the associated graded algebra R^{g} with respect to $\mathfrak{m}$ is a Koszul algebra, in the sense that k has a linear graded free resolution over R^{g}. Many of the properties that are characteristic to Koszul rings/algebras can be stated in purely homological terms, see [11] and [19] for example. In this paper, we extend and study some of these homological properties to a context where the algebra R^{g} is not necessarily quadratic, and we identify large classes of rings that satisfy them.

If R is Koszul, then the Yoneda algebra $\operatorname{Ext}_R(k,k)$ is generated by its elements of degree 1, and R^{g} is quadratic. If R is a standard graded k-algebra, then R is Koszul if and only if its Yoneda algebra is generated in degree 1. A possible generalization of the Koszul property to the case when R^{g} is not necessarily quadratic is the condition that $\operatorname{Ext}_R(k,k)$ is generated in degrees 1 and 2. Connected algebras that satisfy this property are called $\mathcal{K}_2$ by Cassidy and Shelton [4].

For any integer $j > 0$ we let $\nu_j \colon \mathfrak{m}^j \to \mathfrak{m}^{j-1}$ denote the canonical inclusion and consider the induced map $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\nu_j, k) \colon \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\mathfrak{m}^j, k) \to \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\mathfrak{m}^{j-1}, k)$. We prove:

Theorem 1. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a commutative local ring. Let $R^{\mathrm{g}} = S/J$ be a presentation of R^{g} such that $S = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is a polynomial ring with variables X_i in degree 1 and J is a homogeneous ideal with $J \subseteq (X_1, \dots, X_n)^t$ for an integer $t \geq 2$.*

Consider the properties:

(1) *The algebra $\operatorname{Ext}_R(k,k)$ is generated in degrees 1 and 2;*

(2) *$\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\nu_t, k) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$.*

Then (2) $\implies$ (1). If J is generated by polynomials of degree t, then (1) $\iff$ (2).

Property (2) in Theorem 1 is connected to other homological properties, formulated in terms of the notions of *Golod* and *small* homomorphism, recalled in Section

Research partly supported by NSF grant DMS-1101131 and Simons Foundation grant 20903.

2. For any integer $j \geq 0$, let $\rho_j \colon R \to R/\mathfrak{m}^j$ denote the canonical projection. If we consider the properties:

$$\text{(3) } \rho_t \text{ is Golod;} \qquad \text{(4) } \rho_t \text{ is small;} \qquad \text{(5) } \rho_j \text{ is small for all } j \geq t$$

then results of Levin [14] and Avramov [2] give implications (2) $\implies$ (3) $\implies$ (4). When the ideal J of Theorem 1 is generated by polynomials of degree t, we obtain that the properties (1)–(5) are all equivalent; see the more general statement of Theorem 2.10 and Section 5 for a discussion. In general, we do not know whether these properties are also equivalent to the fact that ρ_j is Golod for **all** $j \geq t$, under the assumptions of Theorem 1. Theorem 2 below provides some evidence.

We further explore these properties in the case of *compressed Gorenstein local rings*, recently studied in [18]. They are defined as those artinian Gorenstein local rings of maximal length, given a fixed minimal number of generators for $\mathfrak{m}$ and a fixed integer s, called *socle dimension*, such that $\mathfrak{m}^s \neq 0 = \mathfrak{m}^{s+1}$. When $s = 2$, these rings are Koszul by a result of Sjödin [22] and when $s = 3$ *most* of them are Koszul, see [9, Section 4]. They cannot be Koszul when $s > 3$, since $R^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is no longer quadratic. Our goal is to understand how close to being Koszul they are, despite this obstruction. We use several technical results established in [18], together with results about Golod homomorphisms, and Theorem 1, in order to prove:

Theorem 2. *Let $s > 3$ and let R be a compressed Gorenstein local ring of socle degree s.*

(I) *If s is even and t is such that $s = 2t - 2$, then the following hold:*
  (a) *The Yoneda algebra $\operatorname{Ext}_R(k,k)$ is generated in degrees 1 and 2; equivalently, $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\nu_t, k) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$.*
  (b) *The map ρ_j is Golod for all $j \geq t$.*

(II) *If s is odd and t is such that $s = 2t - 1$ then the following hold:*
  (c) *$\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\nu_{t+1}, k) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$.*
  (d) *The map ρ_j is Golod for all $j \geq t + 1$;*

Furthermore, if j satisfies $s+2-t < j \leq s$ then $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\nu_j, k) \neq 0$ for infinitely many values of i.

Part (I) of the theorem establishes that the $\mathcal{K}_2$ property holds for significant classes of non-Koszul Gorenstein local rings. In the odd socle degree case, the $\mathcal{K}_2$ property is not satisfied. However, the homological properties (c)-(d) can still be viewed as "generalized Koszul properties", and can be used in trying to further understand meaningful extensions of the notion of Koszul algebra.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 1 contains a few preliminaries. Theorem 1 is proved in Section 2 through the more general Theorem 2.10. Theorem 2 is proved in Sections 3 and 4, through Theorem 3.3 and Proposition 4.5. In Section 5 we provide an overview of the various homological properties discussed and the relations between them, and we point out remaining open problems.

1. Cohen presentations and t-homogeneous local rings

Throughout the paper $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ denotes a commutative noetherian local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and residue field k. Let M be a finitely generated R-module.

A *minimal Cohen presentation* of R is a presentation $\widehat{R} = Q/I$, where Q is a regular local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{n}$, I is an ideal with $I \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^2$, and $\widehat{R}$ denotes

the completion of R with respect to $\mathfrak{m}$. We know that such a presentation exists, by the Cohen structure theorem.

We denote by R^{g} the associated graded ring with respect to $\mathfrak{m}$, and by M^{g} the associated graded module with respect to $\mathfrak{m}$. We denote by $(R^{\mathrm{g}})_j$ the j-th graded component of R^{g}. For any $x \in R$ such that $x \in \mathfrak{m}^j \smallsetminus \mathfrak{m}^{j+1}$ for some j we denote by x^* the image of x in $\mathfrak{m}^j/\mathfrak{m}^{j+1} = (R^{\mathrm{g}})_j$. For an ideal J of R, we denote by J^* the homogeneous ideal generated by all the elements x^* for $x \in J$.

Remark 1.1. Let $\widehat{R} = Q/I$ be a minimal Cohen presentation of R. The following then hold:

(1) $I \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^t$ if and only if $\operatorname{rank}_k(\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}/\mathfrak{m}^t) = \binom{e+t-2}{e-1}$, where e denotes the minimal number of generators of $\mathfrak{m}$.
(2) Assume $t \geq 2$ and $I \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^t$. Then $I \cap \mathfrak{n}^{t+1} \subseteq \mathfrak{n}I$ if and only if the map

$$\operatorname{Ext}^2_{\rho_t}(k,k)\colon \operatorname{Ext}^2_{R/\mathfrak{m}^t}(k,k) \to \operatorname{Ext}^2_R(k,k)$$

induced by the canonical projection $\rho_t\colon R \to R/\mathfrak{m}^t$ is surjective.

To prove (1), note that $\widehat{R}^{\mathrm{g}} = Q^{\mathrm{g}}/I^*$ and Q^{g} is isomorphic to a polynomial ring over k in e variables of degree 1. We have that $I \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^t$ if and only if $I^* \subseteq (\mathfrak{n}^{\mathrm{g}})^t$, which is equivalent to

$$(Q^{\mathrm{g}})_{t-1} = (Q^{\mathrm{g}}/I^*)_{t-1}$$

and thus to

$$\operatorname{rank}_k(Q^{\mathrm{g}})_{t-1} = \operatorname{rank}_k(\widehat{R}^{\mathrm{g}})_{t-1}\,.$$

Therefore, (1) follows, by noting that $\operatorname{rank}_k(Q^{\mathrm{g}})_j = \binom{e-1+j}{e-1}$ and $\operatorname{rank}_k(\widehat{R}^{\mathrm{g}})_j = \operatorname{rank}_k(\mathfrak{m}^j/\mathfrak{m}^{j+1})$ for each j.

For a proof of (2), see Şega [20, 4.3], noting that the map $\operatorname{Ext}^2_{\rho_t}(k,k)$ is surjective if and only if the induced map

$$\operatorname{Tor}_2^{\rho_t}(k,k)\colon \operatorname{Tor}_2^R(k,k) \to \operatorname{Tor}_2^{R/\mathfrak{m}^t}(k,k)$$

is injective.

Definition. Let $\widehat{R} = Q/I$ be a minimal Cohen presentation of R. We set

$$v(R) = \sup\{t \geq 0 \mid I \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^t\}\,.$$

Let $t \geq 2$ be an integer. We say that the local ring R is *t-homogeneous* if $I \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^t$ and $I \cap \mathfrak{n}^{t+1} \subseteq \mathfrak{n}I$.

Note that, if R is t-homogeneous, then $t = v(R)$.

Remark 1.1 shows that this definition does not depend on the choice of the minimal Cohen presentation.

Remark 1.2. The terminology of *two-homogeneous algebra* was previosuly used by Löfwall [16], with a different meaning, in the context of augmented graded algebras.

Lemma 1.3. *Let $\widehat{R} = Q/I$ be a minimal Cohen presentation of R. If the ideal I^* of the polynomial ring Q^{g} is generated by homogeneous polynomials of degree t, then the ring R is t-homogeneous.*

Proof. Assume I^* is generated by homogeneous polynomials of degree t. In particular, it follows that $I \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^t$. To prove $I \cap \mathfrak{n}^{t+1} \subseteq \mathfrak{n}I$, we will show $I \cap \mathfrak{n}^{t+1} \subseteq \mathfrak{n}I + \mathfrak{n}^j$ for all $j \gg 0$. The Krull intersection theorem then gives the conclusion.

Let $x \in I \cap \mathfrak{n}^{t+1}$ and let $a \geq 1$ be the smallest integer such that $x \notin \mathfrak{n}^{t+1+a}$. Then x^* is an element of degree $t+a$ of I^*. Since I^* is generated by homogeneous elements of degree t, we can write

$$x^* = \sum y_i^* z_i^*$$

with $y_i^* \in (Q^{\mathfrak{g}})_a$ and $z_i^* \in I^* \cap (Q^{\mathfrak{g}})_t$ for each i, where $y_i \in \mathfrak{n}^a$ and $z_i \in I \cap \mathfrak{n}^t$. Set

$$x_1 = x - \sum y_i z_i$$

and note that $x_1 \in I \cap \mathfrak{n}^{t+a+1}$ and $x - x_1 \in \mathfrak{n}I$. In particular $x \in \mathfrak{n}I + \mathfrak{n}^{t+a+1}$. Applying the argument above to x_1, we obtain an element a_1 such that $a_1 > a$, and an element x_2 such that $x_2 \in I \cap \mathfrak{n}^{t+a_1+1}$ and $x_1 - x_2 \in \mathfrak{n}I$. In particular x_1, and thus x, are elements of $\mathfrak{n}I + \mathfrak{n}^{t+a_1+1}$. An inductive argument produces a sequence of integers $1 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots$ such that $x \in \mathfrak{n}I + \mathfrak{n}^{t+a_i+1}$ for all i, and gives the desired conclusion. □

Remark 1.4. The converse of the lemma does not hold. This can be seen by considering the 2-homogeneous local ring $R = k[[x,y]]/(x^2+y^3, xy)$, for which $R^{\mathfrak{g}} = k[x,y]/(x^2, y^4, xy)$.

2. Connections among generalized Koszul properties

In this section we investigate connections among the homological properties mentioned in the introduction; we refer to these properties as "generalized Koszul properties" because of their connections to the notion of Koszul ring. Special attention is given to the class of t-homogeneous rings.

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring and M a finite R-module. The *Poincaré series* $\mathrm{P}_M^R(z)$ of M is defined as

$$\mathrm{P}_M^R(z) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \mathrm{rank}_k(\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(M,k)) z^i.$$

2.1. *Golod rings, modules, and homomorphisms.* Let $(S, \mathfrak{s}, k)$ be a local ring and $\varphi\colon R \to S$ be a surjective homomorphism of local rings. Following Levin [15], we say that an S-module M is *φ-Golod* if the following equality is satisfied:

$$\mathrm{P}_M^S(z) = \frac{\mathrm{P}_M^R(z)}{(1 - z(\mathrm{P}_S^R(z) - 1))}.$$

We say that φ is a *Golod homomorphism* if k is a φ-Golod module.

The ring R is said to be a *Golod ring* if the canonical projection $Q \to \widehat{R}$ is a Golod homomorphism, where $\widehat{R} = Q/I$ is a minimal Cohen presentation. This definition is independent of the choice of representation by [1, Lemma 4.1.3]. Note that this definition is equivalent to the definition given by Avramov in terms of Koszul homology in [1, §5]. A classical example of a Golod ring is $Q/\mathfrak{n}^j$ for any $j \geq 2$, where $(Q, \mathfrak{n}, k)$ is a regular local ring, as first observed by Golod [6] .

2.2. *Small homomorphisms.* Let $\varphi : R \to S$ be a surjective ring homomorphism as above and consider the induced maps

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\varphi}^i(k,k) : \mathrm{Ext}_S^i(k,k) \to \mathrm{Ext}_R^i(k,k)$$

$$\operatorname{Tor}_i^{\varphi}(k,k) : \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(k,k) \to \operatorname{Tor}_i^S(k,k).$$

We say that φ is *small* if $\operatorname{Ext}^*_{\varphi}(k,k)$ is surjective or, equivalently, $\operatorname{Tor}^{\varphi}_*(k,k)$ is injective. Note that $\operatorname{Tor}^{\varphi}_1(k,k)$ can be identified with the canonical map

$$\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \to \mathfrak{s}/\mathfrak{s}^2\,.$$

induced by φ. Thus $\operatorname{Tor}^{\varphi}_1(k,k)$ is an isomorphism if and only if $\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$.

For convenience we collect below a few known facts about small homomorphisms:

(1) If φ is Golod then φ is small, see Avramov [2, 3.5].
(2) If S is a Golod ring and φ is small, then φ is Golod, see Şega [19, 6.7].

For each $j \geq 0$ consider the natural projection

$$\rho_j : R \to R/\mathfrak{m}^j\,.$$

(3) If ρ_t is small then ρ_j is small for all $j \geq t$. Indeed, let $n > t$ and let $\nu : R/\mathfrak{m}^n \to R/\mathfrak{m}^t$ be the induced map. Note that

$$\operatorname{Tor}^{\rho_t}_*(k,k) = \operatorname{Tor}^{\nu}_*(k,k) \circ \operatorname{Tor}^{\rho_n}_*(k,k).$$

Hence if $\operatorname{Tor}^{\rho_t}_*(k,k)$ is injective then $\operatorname{Tor}^{\rho_n}_*(k,k)$ is injective.

2.3. *Inert modules.* Let $\varkappa\colon P \to R$ be a surjective homomorphism of local rings. Following Lescot [12], we say that an R-module M is *inert by* $\varkappa$ if

$$\mathrm{P}^R_k(z)\,\mathrm{P}^P_M(z) = \mathrm{P}^P_k(z)\,\mathrm{P}^R_M(z).$$

If $\varkappa$ is a Golod homomorphism the following are equivalent:

(1) M is $\varkappa$-Golod;
(2) $\operatorname{Tor}^{\varkappa}_i(M,k)$ is injective for all i;
(3) M is inert by $\varkappa$.

The equivalence of (1) and (3) is a direct consequence of the definitions and the equivalence of (1) with (2) is given by Levin [15, 1.1].

Remark 2.4. Consider a sequence of surjective homomorphisms of local rings

$$R \xrightarrow{\alpha} S \xrightarrow{\beta} T\,.$$

and let M be a T-module. Consider the homomorphisms α, β and $\beta \circ \alpha$. If M is inert by any two of these three homomorphisms, then M is inert by the third as well. The proof of this statement is an immediate consequence of the definition 2.3.

2.5. For the convenience of the reader, we state here a needed result of Rossi and Şega [18, Lemma 1.2]. Let $\varkappa\colon (P,\mathfrak{p},k) \to (R,\mathfrak{m},k)$ be a surjective homomorphism of local rings. Assume there exists an integer a such that

(1) The map $\operatorname{Tor}^P_i(R,k) \to \operatorname{Tor}^P_i(R/\mathfrak{m}^a,k)$ induced by the natural projection $R \to R/\mathfrak{m}^a$ is zero for all $i > 0$.
(2) The map $\operatorname{Tor}^P_i(\mathfrak{m}^{2a},k) \to \operatorname{Tor}^P_i(\mathfrak{m}^a,k)$ induced by the inclusion $\mathfrak{m}^{2a} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{m}^a$ is zero for all $i \geq 0$.

Then $\varkappa$ is a Golod homomorphism.

Recall that $\rho_j\colon R \to R/\mathfrak{m}^j$ denotes the natural projection and $\nu_j\colon \mathfrak{m}^j \to \mathfrak{m}^{j-1}$ denotes the canonical inclusion, and for each $j \geq 0$ consider the induced maps

$$\operatorname{Tor}^{\rho_j}_i(R/\mathfrak{m}^{j-1},k)\colon\ \operatorname{Tor}^R_i(R/\mathfrak{m}^{j-1},k) \to \operatorname{Tor}^{R/\mathfrak{m}^j}_i(R/\mathfrak{m}^{j-1},k)$$
$$\operatorname{Tor}^R_i(\nu_j,k)\colon\ \operatorname{Tor}^R_i(\mathfrak{m}^j,k) \to \operatorname{Tor}^R_i(\mathfrak{m}^{j-1},k).$$

Remark 2.6. For $i, j \geq 0$ consider the map

$$\eta_i^j \colon \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(R/\mathfrak{m}^j, k) \to \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(R/\mathfrak{m}^{j-1}, k)$$

induced by the canonical projection $R/\mathfrak{m}^j \to R/\mathfrak{m}^{j-1}$.

Note that $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\nu_j, k) = 0$ if and only if $\eta_{i+1}^j = 0$. Indeed, this is a standard argument, using the canonical isomorphisms

$$\operatorname{Tor}_{i+1}^R(R/\mathfrak{m}^n, k) \cong \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\mathfrak{m}^n, k)$$

which arise as connecting homomorphisms in the long exact sequence associated to the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathfrak{m}^n \to R \to R/\mathfrak{m}^n \to 0\,,$$

with $n = j$ and $n = j - 1$.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring and let $j \geq 2$ be an integer. The following are equivalent:*

(1) $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\nu_j, k) = 0$ *for all* $i \geq 0$*;*
(2) $\operatorname{Tor}_i^{\rho_j}(R/\mathfrak{m}^{j-1}, k)$ *is injective for all* $i \geq 0$*;*
(3) ρ_j *is Golod and* $R/\mathfrak{m}^{j-1}$ *is inert by* ρ_j*.*

If these conditions hold, then ρ_l is Golod for $j \leq l \leq 2j-2$.

Proof. (1)⇒(2): Let $i \geq 0$. Set $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^{j-1} = \mathfrak{m}^{j-1}/\mathfrak{m}^j$. Consider long exact sequences associated to the exact sequence

$$0 \to \overline{\mathfrak{m}}^{j-1} \to R/\mathfrak{m}^j \to R/\mathfrak{m}^{j-1} \to 0$$

and create the following commutative diagram with exact columns.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\operatorname{Tor}_{i+1}^R(R/\mathfrak{m}^j, k) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Tor}_{i+1}^{R/\mathfrak{m}^j}(R/\mathfrak{m}^j, k) = 0 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta_{i+1}^j} & & \downarrow \\
\operatorname{Tor}_{i+1}^R(R/\mathfrak{m}^{j-1}, k) & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Tor}_{i+1}^{\rho_j}(R/\mathfrak{m}^{j-1}, k)} & \operatorname{Tor}_{i+1}^{R/\mathfrak{m}^j}(R/\mathfrak{m}^{j-1}, k) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \Delta_i} & & \downarrow \\
\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^{j-1}, k) & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Tor}_i^{\rho_j}(\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^{j-1}, k)} & \operatorname{Tor}_i^{R/\mathfrak{m}^j}(\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^{j-1}, k)
\end{array}$$

By Remark 2.6, the hypothesis that $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\nu_j, k) = 0$ implies that $\eta_{i+1}^j = 0$, and thus the connecting homomorphism Δ_i is injective.

Levin's proof of [14, 3.15] shows $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\nu_j, k) = 0$ for all i implies ρ_j is Golod. In particular, the map ρ_j is small by 2.2(1). Since $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^{j-1}$ is a direct sum of copies of k, it follows that $\operatorname{Tor}_i^{\rho_j}(\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^{j-1}, k)$ is injective.

The bottom commutative square yields that $\operatorname{Tor}_{i+1}^{\rho_j}(R/\mathfrak{m}^{j-1}, k)$ is injective.

(2)⇒(1): Assuming that $\operatorname{Tor}_{i+1}^{\rho_j}(R/\mathfrak{m}^{j-1}, k)$ is injective, the top square in the commutative diagram above gives that $\eta_{i+1}^j = 0$, and thus $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\nu_j, k) = 0$ by Remark 2.6.

(1)⇒(3): As mentioned above, $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\nu_j, k) = 0$ for all i implies that ρ_j is Golod. Since we already proved (1)⇒(2), we know that $\operatorname{Tor}_i^{\rho_j}(R/\mathfrak{m}^{j-1}, k)$ is injective for all i. By 2.3, $R/\mathfrak{m}^{j-1}$ is then inert by ρ_j.

(3)⇒(2): see 2.3.

Fix now l such that $j \leq l \leq 2j-2$. We prove the last assertion of the proposition by applying 2.5, with $\varkappa = \rho_l$ and $a = j-1$. Set $\overline{R} = R/\mathfrak{m}^l$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^l$. Let

$$\overline{\rho}_{j-1} \colon \overline{R} \to \overline{R}/\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^{j-1}$$

denote the canonical projection. To satisfy the first hypothesis of 2.5, we will show that the induced map

$$\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\overline{\rho}_{j-1}, k) : \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\overline{R}, k) \to \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\overline{R}/\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^{j-1}, k)$$

is zero for all $i > 0$. Since $l \geq j$, we have $\overline{R}/\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^{j-1} = R/\mathfrak{m}^{j-1}$ and $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\overline{\rho}_{j-1}, k)$ factors through

$$\eta_i^j \colon \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(R/\mathfrak{m}^j, k) \to \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(R/\mathfrak{m}^{j-1}, k)\,.$$

Since $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\nu_j, k) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$ by assumption, we have that $\eta_i^j = 0$ for all $i > 0$ by Remark 2.6. Hence $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\overline{\rho}_{j-1}, k) = 0$ for all $i > 0$.

To satisfy the second hypothesis of 2.5, we need to show that the induced map

$$\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^{2(j-1)}, k) \to \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^{j-1}, k)$$

induced by the inclusion $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^{2(j-1)} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{m}}^{j-1}$ is zero for all $i \geq 0$. In fact, this map is trivially zero since the inclusion $\mathfrak{m}^{2j-2} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^l$ (given by the inequality $l \leq 2j-2$) implies $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^{2j-2} = 0$. Hence, ρ_l is Golod for all l with $j-1 < l \leq 2j-2$ by 2.5. □

2.8. We now state a result contained in work of Lescot, see [12, 3.7 and 3.11]: Let Q be a regular local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{n}$ and an ideal J such that $J \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^t$ with $t \geq 2$. Set $S = Q/J$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{n}} = \mathfrak{n}/J$. If a finitely generated S-module M is annihilated by $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}^{t-1}$ then M is inert by the natural projection $\varkappa : Q \to S$.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring. If $2 \leq t \leq v(R)$, then $R/\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}$ is inert by the canonical projection $\rho_t : R \to R/\mathfrak{m}^t$.*

Proof. We may assume that R is complete. Let $R = Q/I$ be a minimal Cohen presentation, with $(Q, \mathfrak{n}, k)$ a regular local ring and $I \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^t$. Note that $R/\mathfrak{m}^j = Q/\mathfrak{n}^j$ for all $j \leq t$. Let $\varkappa : Q \to R$ and $\alpha_t : Q \to Q/\mathfrak{n}^t$ denote the canonical projections. We will prove that $R/\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}$ is inert by both $\varkappa$ and α_t. Then Remark 2.4 gives the conclusion, since $\alpha_t = \rho_t \circ \varkappa$.

To see that $R/\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}$ is inert by $\varkappa$, take $J = I$ in 2.8 and thus $S = R$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{n}} = \mathfrak{m}$. The result follows directly from 2.8 since $R/\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}$ is annihilated by $\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}$.

To see that $R/\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}$ is inert by α_t, take $J = \mathfrak{n}^t$ in 2.8 and thus $S = Q/\mathfrak{n}^t = R/\mathfrak{m}^t$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{n}} = \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^t$. Since $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}^{t-1} \cdot S/\overline{\mathfrak{n}}^{t-1} = 0$, we have that $S/\overline{\mathfrak{n}}^{t-1}$ is inert by $\alpha_t : Q \to S$ by 2.8. Finally, note that $S/\overline{\mathfrak{n}}^{t-1} = Q/\mathfrak{n}^{t-1} = R/\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}$, since $I \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^t$. □

Theorem 2.10. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring and let t be an integer satisfying $2 \leq t \leq v(R)$. The following are equivalent:*

(1) *ρ_t is small;*
(2) *ρ_j is small for all $j \geq t$;*
(3) *ρ_t is Golod;*
(4) *ρ_j is Golod for all j such that $t \leq j \leq 2t-2$;*
(5) *$\operatorname{Tor}_*^R(\nu_t, k) = 0$;*
(6) *R is t-homogeneous and the algebra $\operatorname{Ext}_R(k,k)$ is generated by $\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(k,k)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_R^2(k,k)$.*

Proof. The homological properties under consideration are invariant under faithfully flat extensions. We may assume thus R is complete. Hence $R = Q/I$ with $(Q, \mathfrak{n}, k)$ a regular local ring and $I \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^t$. In particular, we have $R/\mathfrak{m}^t = Q/\mathfrak{n}^t$.

(1)⇒(2): See 2.2(3).

(2)⇒(1): This is clear.

(1)⇒(3): Since $R/\mathfrak{m}^t = Q/\mathfrak{n}^t$ is Golod (see 2.1), we can apply 2.2(2).

(3)⇒(1): See 2.2(1).

(3)⇒(5): By assumption ρ_t is Golod. By Lemma 2.9, $R/\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}$ is inert by ρ_t. Hence $\operatorname{Tor}^R_*(\nu_t, k) = 0$ by Proposition 2.7.

(5)⇒(4): See Proposition 2.7.

(4) ⇒(3): This is clear.

(1) ⇒(6): Assume ρ_t is small, hence $\operatorname{Ext}_{\rho_t}(k,k)$ is a surjective homomorphism of graded algebras. In [13, 5.9], Levin shows $\operatorname{Ext}_{Q/\mathfrak{n}^t}(k,k)$ is generated by elements in degree 1 and 2. It follows that $\operatorname{Ext}_R(k,k)$ is also generated in degrees 1 and 2. To see that R is t-homogeneous, use Remark 1.1(2).

(6) ⇒(1): Assume R is t-homogeneous and the Yoneda algebra $\operatorname{Ext}_R(k,k)$ is generated by $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(k,k)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^2_R(k,k)$. To show that $\operatorname{Ext}^*_{\rho_t}(k,k)$ is surjective, it suffices to show that $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\rho_t}(k,k)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^2_{\rho_t}(k,k)$ are surjective. Since $t \geq 2$, we have that $\operatorname{Ker}(\rho_t) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$, hence $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\rho_t}(k,k)$ is an isomorphism, as discussed in 2.2. The fact that $\operatorname{Ext}^2_{\rho_t}(k,k)$ is surjective is given by Remark 1.1(2). □

3. Compressed Gorenstein local rings; part I

Compressed Gorenstein local rings have been recently studied by Rossi and Şega [18]; we recall below the definition given there. We consider this large class of rings as a case study for the homological properties of interest.

3.1. *Compressed Gorenstein local rings.* Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a Gorenstein artinian local ring. The embedding dimension of R is the integer $e = \operatorname{rank}_k(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)$, and the socle degree of R is the integer s such that $\mathfrak{m}^s \neq 0 = \mathfrak{m}^{s+1}$. Since R is complete, a minimal Cohen presentation of R is $R = Q/I$ with $(Q, \mathfrak{n}, k)$ a regular local ring and $I \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^2$. Set

$$\varepsilon_i = \min\left\{ \binom{e-1+s-i}{e-1}, \binom{e-1+i}{e-1} \right\} \quad \text{for all } i \text{ with } 0 \leq i \leq s.$$

According to [18, 4.2], we have

$$\lambda(R) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{e} \varepsilon_i \,, \tag{3.1.1}$$

where $\lambda(R)$ denotes the *length* of R. We say that R is a *compressed* Gorenstein local ring of socle degree s and embedding dimension e if R has maximal length, that is, equality holds in (3.1.1).

If R as above is compressed, we set

$$t = \left\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \right\rceil \quad \text{and} \quad r = s + 1 - t \,, \tag{3.1.2}$$

where $\lceil x \rceil$ denotes the smallest integer not less than a rational number x.

As discussed in [18, 4.2], we have $t = v(R)$. Note that if s is even then $s = 2t-2$ and $r = t-1$. If s is odd then $s = 2t-1$ and $r = t$.

Remark 3.2. It is shown in [18, 4.2(c)] that if R is a compressed Gorenstein local ring, then $R^{\mathtt{g}}$ is Gorenstein, and it is thus a compressed Gorenstein k-algebra. Note that compressed Gorenstein algebras can be regarded as being generic Gorenstein algebras, see the discussion in [18, 5.5].

Let R be a compressed Gorenstein local ring of socle degree s. When s is even, the minimal free resolution of $R^{\mathtt{g}}$ over $Q^{\mathtt{g}}$ is described for example by Iarrobino in [10, 4.7]; in particular, it follows that I^* is generated by homogeneous polynomials of degree t. According to Lemma 1.3, it follows that R is t-homogeneous.

When s is odd, I^* can be generated in degrees t and $t+1$; see [3, Proposition 3.2]. It is conjectured in [3, 3.13] that I^* is generated in degree t when $R^{\mathtt{g}}$ is generic in a stronger sense.

Recall that $\nu_j : \mathfrak{m}^j \to \mathfrak{m}^{j-1}$ denotes the natural inclusion and $\rho_j \colon R \to R/\mathfrak{m}^j$ denotes the natural projection. In this section, our goal is to prove the following:

Theorem 3.3. *Let R be a compressed Gorenstein local ring of socle degree s with $2 \leq s \neq 3$. If $s = 2t-2$ is even, then the following hold:*

(1) $\operatorname{Tor}^R_*(\nu_t, k) = 0$;
(2) ρ_j *is Golod for all* $j \geq t$;
(3) ρ_j *is small for all* $j \geq t$;
(4) *The algebra* $\operatorname{Ext}_R(k,k)$ *is generated by* $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(k,k)$ *and* $\operatorname{Ext}^2_R(k,k)$.

If $s = 2t-1$ is odd, then the following hold:

(1′) $\operatorname{Tor}^R_*(\nu_{t+1}, k) = 0$;
(2′) ρ_j *is Golod for all* $j \geq t+1$;
(3′) ρ_j *is small for all* $j \geq t+1$.

Several preliminaries are needed to prove the theorem.

3.4. If $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ is a local ring and M is a finite R-module, we let $\nu_M \colon \mathfrak{m}M \to M$ denote the canonical inclusion and consider the induced maps

$$\operatorname{Tor}^R_i(\nu_M, k) \colon \operatorname{Tor}^R_i(\mathfrak{m}M, k) \to \operatorname{Tor}^R_i(M, k)\,.$$

They fit into the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to \operatorname{Tor}^R_i(\mathfrak{m}M, k) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Tor}^R_i(\nu_M, k)} \operatorname{Tor}^R_i(M, k) \to \operatorname{Tor}^R_i(M/\mathfrak{m}M, k) \to \cdots\,.$$

Note that $\nu_j = \nu_{\mathfrak{m}^{j-1}}$, with ν_j as introduced earlier.

If Λ is a graded vector space over k, we set $H_\Lambda(z) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \operatorname{rank}_k(\Lambda_i) z^i$, the *Hilbert series* of Λ. Since rank is additive on exact sequences, a rank count in the exact sequence above gives

$$\mathrm{P}^R_M(z) - a\,\mathrm{P}^R_k(z) + z\,\mathrm{P}^R_{\mathfrak{m}M}(z) = (1+z) H_{\operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{Tor}^R_*(\nu_M, k))}(z) \tag{3.4.1}$$

where $a = \operatorname{rank}_k(M/\mathfrak{m}M)$.

Given a formal power series $A(z) = \sum_{i=0}^\infty a_i z^i$, we set

$$[A(z)]_{<m} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i z^i\,.$$

We see from the above that for any integer m the following are equivalent:

(1) $\operatorname{Tor}^R_i(\nu_M, k) = 0$ for all $i < m$;
(2) $[\mathrm{P}^R_M(z) - a\,\mathrm{P}^R_k(z) + z\,\mathrm{P}^R_{\mathfrak{m}M}(z)]_{<m} = 0$.

For the remainder of the section we use the assumptions and notation described below.

3.5. Let $(R,\mathfrak{m},k)$ be a compressed Gorenstein local ring of embedding dimension e and socle degree $2\leq s\neq 3$, with minimal Cohen presentation $R=Q/I$ where $(Q,\mathfrak{n},k)$ is regular. We use the notation in 3.1.2 for the integers t and r and set

$$a_j=\operatorname{rank}_k(\mathfrak{m}^j/\mathfrak{m}^{j+1})$$

for any $j\geq 0$. Let $h\in I\cap\mathfrak{n}^t\setminus\mathfrak{n}^{t+1}$. Set $P=Q/(h)$ and $\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{n}/(h)$. Let $\varkappa:P\to R$ denote the canonical projection. The following properties shown in [18] will be useful for our approach:

(1) $\operatorname{Tor}^P_*(\nu_{\mathfrak{m}^r},k)=0$ ([18, Theorem 3.3]);
(2) $R/\mathfrak{m}^j$ is a Golod ring for $2\leq j\leq s$ ([18, Proposition 6.3]);
(3) $\varkappa:P\to R$ is a Golod homomorphism ([18, Theorem 5.1]).

Since $\varkappa$ is a a Golod homomorphism, we can describe a minimal free resolution of k over R as follows. For more details on the construction see [1, 5.2].

Construction 3.6. There exist a graded-commutative DG algebra $\mathcal{D}$ such that $\mathcal{D}$ is a minimal free resolution of k over P, see [8]. Set $\mathcal{A}=R\otimes_P\mathcal{D}$, and let $\boldsymbol{h}$ denote a homogeneous basis of the graded k-vector space $\mathrm{H}_{\geq 1}(\mathcal{A})$. For each integer $i\geq 1$ set $\boldsymbol{h}_i=\{h\in\boldsymbol{h}:|h|=i\}$, and choose a free R-module V_i with basis $\{v_h\}_{h\in\boldsymbol{h}_i}$. Let G be a complex such that

$$G_i=\bigoplus_{l+m+i_1+\cdots+i_m=i}\mathcal{A}_l\otimes_R V_{i_1}\otimes_R\cdots\otimes_R V_{i_m} \tag{3.6.1}$$

and $\partial\colon G_i\to G_{i-1}$ are R-linear maps defined by the formula

$$\begin{aligned}\partial(a\otimes v_{h_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{h_m})&=\partial(a)\otimes v_{h_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{h_m}\\&\quad+(-1)^{|a|}\sum_{j=1}^{m}a\mu(h_1,\dots,h_j)\otimes v_{h_{j+1}}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{h_m}\end{aligned} \tag{3.6.2}$$

where μ is a *trivial Massey operation* as described in [18, 1.1].

By [7, Proposition 1], G is a minimal free resolution of k over R.

Since $\operatorname{Tor}^P_i(\nu_{\mathfrak{m}^r},k)=0$ for all $i\geq 0$ by 3.5(1), the proof of [18, Proposition 3.2] shows [18, Lemma 1.2] holds, and in particular $\mu(\mathcal{A}_{\geqslant 1})\subseteq\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{A}$.

Proposition 3.7. *Let R be as in* 3.5. *Then the following hold:*

(1) *$\mathfrak{m}^j$ is inert by $\varkappa$ for all $j\geq r$.*
(2) *$R/\mathfrak{m}^j$ is inert by $\varkappa$ for all $j\leq t-1$.*

Consequently, the following formulas hold:

$$\mathrm{P}^R_{\mathfrak{m}^j}(z)=\mathrm{P}^P_{\mathfrak{m}^j}(z)\cdot\frac{\mathrm{P}^R_k(z)}{\mathrm{P}^P_k(z)}\quad\textit{for all } j\geq r; \tag{3.7.1}$$

$$\mathrm{P}^R_{R/\mathfrak{m}^j}(z)=\mathrm{P}^P_{R/\mathfrak{m}^j}(z)\cdot\frac{\mathrm{P}^R_k(z)}{\mathrm{P}^P_k(z)}\quad\textit{for all } j\leq t-1\,. \tag{3.7.2}$$

Proof. Let G be the minimal free resolution of k over R from Construction 3.6.

Case 1. Assume $j \geq r+1$. We make the identifications $\mathrm{H}_i(\mathfrak{m}^j G) = \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(\mathfrak{m}^j, k)$ and $\mathrm{H}_i(\mathfrak{m}^j \mathcal{A}) = \mathrm{Tor}_i^P(\mathfrak{m}^j, k)$. Since $\mathrm{Im}(\mu) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{A}$, we have that $a\,\mathrm{Im}(\mu) = 0$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{m}^j\mathcal{A}$, because $\mathfrak{m}^{j+t-1} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{r+t} = \mathfrak{m}^{s+1} = 0$. Formula (3.6.2) becomes thus:

$$\partial(g) = \partial(a) \otimes v_{h_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{h_m} \quad \text{for all } g = a \otimes v_{h_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{h_m} \text{ with } a \in \mathfrak{m}^j\mathcal{A}\,.$$

In particular, it follows that

$$\mathrm{H}_i(\mathfrak{m}^j G) = \bigoplus_{l+m+i_1+\cdots+i_m=i} \mathrm{H}_l(\mathfrak{m}^j\mathcal{A}) \otimes_R V_{i_1} \otimes_R \cdots \otimes_R V_{i_m}$$

and a rank count in this formula gives

$$\mathrm{P}^R_{\mathfrak{m}^j}(z) = \frac{\mathrm{P}^P_{\mathfrak{m}^j}(z)}{1 - z(\mathrm{P}^P_R(z) - 1)}\,.$$

That is, $\mathfrak{m}^j$ is $\varkappa$-Golod; see the definition in 2.1. Since $\varkappa$ is a Golod homomorphism, we conclude, using 2.3, that $\mathfrak{m}^j$ is inert by $\varkappa$.

Case 2. Assume $j \leq t-1$. Note that, since $\mathrm{Im}(\mu) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{t-1}\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathfrak{m}^{t-1} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^j$ for all $j \leq t-1$, we have that $\overline{a}\,\mathrm{Im}(\mu) = 0$ in $\mathcal{A}/\mathfrak{m}^j\mathcal{A}$ for all $\overline{a} \in \mathcal{A}/\mathfrak{m}^j\mathcal{A}$. The proof then follows as in Case 1.

Case 3. Assume $j = r$. Consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{Tor}_i^P(\mathfrak{m}^{r+1}, k) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tor}_i^P(\nu_{r+1},k)} & \mathrm{Tor}_i^P(\mathfrak{m}^r, k) & \xrightarrow{\quad\alpha\quad} & \mathrm{Tor}_i^P(\mathfrak{m}^r/\mathfrak{m}^{r+1}, k) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\beta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\gamma} \\
\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(\mathfrak{m}^{r+1}, k) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(\mathfrak{m}^r, k) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(\mathfrak{m}^r/\mathfrak{m}^{r+1}, k)
\end{array}$$

where $\beta = \mathrm{Tor}_i^{\varkappa}(\mathfrak{m}^r, k)$ and $\gamma = \mathrm{Tor}_i^{\varkappa}(\mathfrak{m}^r/\mathfrak{m}^{r+1}, k)$. Since $\mathrm{Tor}_i^P(\nu_{r+1}, k) = 0$ by 3.5(1), α is injective. Since $\varkappa$ is Golod, it is in particular small by 2.2(1), and it follows that γ is injective, since $\mathfrak{m}^r/\mathfrak{m}^{r+1}$ is a direct sum of copies of k. The commutative square on the right shows that β is injective as well, hence $\mathfrak{m}^r$ is inert by $\varkappa$ by 2.3. □

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Recall from 3.1 that if $s = 2t-2$ then $r = t-1$ and if $s = 2t-1$ then $r = t$. We will show $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(\nu_{r+1}, k) = 0$ for all i, from which (1) and (1′) follow. Indeed, we have the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= z\,\mathrm{P}^P_{\mathfrak{m}^{r+1}}(z) - a_r\,\mathrm{P}^P_k(z) + \mathrm{P}^P_{\mathfrak{m}^r}(z) \\
&= z\,\mathrm{P}^R_{\mathfrak{m}^{r+1}}(z) \cdot \frac{\mathrm{P}^P_k(z)}{\mathrm{P}^R_k(z)} - a_r\,\mathrm{P}^P_k(z) + \mathrm{P}^R_{\mathfrak{m}^r}(z) \cdot \frac{\mathrm{P}^P_k(z)}{\mathrm{P}^R_k(z)}
\end{aligned}$$

where the first equality is given by 3.5(1) and 3.4, and the second is given by (3.7.1). We have thus

$$0 = z\,\mathrm{P}^R_{\mathfrak{m}^{r+1}}(z) - a_r\,\mathrm{P}^R_k(z) + \mathrm{P}^R_{\mathfrak{m}^r}(z)$$

and the conclusion that $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(\nu_{r+1}, k) = 0$ for all i follows from 3.4.

By Proposition 2.7 and from above, $\rho_{r+1} : R \to R/\mathfrak{m}^{r+1}$ is a Golod homomorphism. By 2.2 (1) and (3) we have that ρ_j is small for all $j \geq r+1$. This proves (3) and (3′).

Since $R/\mathfrak{m}^j$ is a Golod ring for $2 \leq j \leq s$ (see 3.5(2)), we combine (3) and (3′) with 2.2(2) to conclude that $R \to R/\mathfrak{m}^j$ is a Golod homomorphism for all $j \geq r+1$. Thus (2) and (2′) hold.

Since $t = v(R)$ by [18, 4.2], we may apply Theorem 2.10 which proves (4). □

4. Compressed Gorenstein local rings; part II

Throughout this section let R be as in 3.5. Our goal here is to prove that the properties given by Theorem 3.3 cannot be extended. We begin this section by proving several formulas for Poincaré series of powers of the maximal ideal.

Lemma 4.1. *Let R be as in* 3.5. *The following formulas then hold:*

(1) $\mathrm{P}^Q_{\mathfrak{m}^j}(z) = \mathrm{P}^Q_R(z) + \mathrm{P}^Q_{\mathfrak{n}^j}(z) - 1$ *for all* $j \leq t-1$.

(2) $\mathrm{P}^Q_{\mathfrak{m}^j}(z) - a_j\,\mathrm{P}^Q_k(z) + z\,\mathrm{P}^Q_{\mathfrak{m}^{j+1}}(z) = (1+z)z^e$ *for all* j *with* $r \leq j \leq s$.

Proof. (1) Consider the long exact sequence induced by $\mathrm{Tor}^Q(-,k)$ on the short exact sequence $0 \to \mathfrak{m}^j \to R \xrightarrow{\rho_j} R/\mathfrak{m}^j \to 0$. Since the map $\mathrm{Tor}^Q_i(\rho_j,k)$ factors through the map $\mathrm{Tor}^Q_i(R/\mathfrak{m}^t,k) \to \mathrm{Tor}^Q_i(R/\mathfrak{m}^{t-1},k)$ for all $j \leq t-1$ and the latter is zero for all $i \geq 1$ by [18, 1.4], we have that $\mathrm{Tor}^Q_i(\rho_j,k) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and all $j \leq t-1$. Therefore, we have the following exact sequences for $i \geq 1$:

$$0 \to \mathrm{Tor}^Q_{i+1}(R/\mathfrak{m}^j,k) \to \mathrm{Tor}^Q_i(\mathfrak{m}^j,k) \to \mathrm{Tor}^Q_i(R,k) \to 0 \tag{4.1.1}$$

and for $i = 0$ we have

$$0 \to \mathrm{Tor}^Q_1(R/\mathfrak{m}^j,k) \to \mathrm{Tor}^Q_0(\mathfrak{m}^j,k) \to \mathrm{Tor}^Q_0(R,k) \to \mathrm{Tor}^Q_0(R/\mathfrak{m}^j,k) \to 0. \tag{4.1.2}$$

Since $I \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^j$, we have $R/\mathfrak{m}^j = Q/\mathfrak{n}^j$, hence

$$\mathrm{Tor}^Q_{i+1}(R/\mathfrak{m}^j,k) = \mathrm{Tor}^Q_{i+1}(Q/\mathfrak{n}^j,k) \cong \mathrm{Tor}^Q_i(\mathfrak{n}^j,k). \tag{4.1.3}$$

After using (4.1.3) in (4.1.1) and (4.1.2), a rank count in these exact sequences gives the conclusion.

(2) In [18, Lemma 4.4] it is proved that the map $\mathrm{Tor}^Q_i(\nu_{r+1},k)$ is zero for all $i \neq e$ and is bijective for $i = e$. The argument given in the proof there, with a minor adjustment, shows that the following more general statement holds: For any j with $r \leq j \leq s$, the map $\mathrm{Tor}^Q_i(\nu_{j+1},k)$ is zero for all $i \neq e$ and is bijective for $i = e$.

Fix j with $r \leq j \leq s$. Set $\Lambda = \operatorname{Im}\mathrm{Tor}^Q_*(\nu_{j+1},k))$. Note that $\Lambda_e = \mathrm{Tor}^Q_e(\mathfrak{m}^j,k) \cong \operatorname{Soc}(\mathfrak{m}^j)$, the socle of $\mathfrak{m}^j$. Since R is Gorenstein, $\operatorname{rank}_k \operatorname{Soc}(\mathfrak{m}^j) = \operatorname{rank}_k \operatorname{Soc}(R) = 1$. Hence $H_\Lambda(z) = z^e$ and the desired formula follows then from (3.4.1). □

Remark 4.2. By [18, Theorem 5.1], we have $\mathrm{P}^R_k(z) \cdot d_R(z) = \mathrm{P}^Q_k(z)$, where

$$d_R(z) = 1 - z(\mathrm{P}^Q_R(z) - 1) + z^{e+1}(1+z).$$

Note that $d_R(z)$ is polynomial of degree $e+2$, since $\mathrm{P}^Q_R(z)$ is a polynomial of degree e.

We state below, for further use, a result that follows directly from [1, 3.3.5]:

4.3. Let $(Q,\mathfrak{n},k)$ be a regular local ring and let $h \in \mathfrak{n}^t$ a Q-regular element with $t \geq 2$. Set $P = Q/(h)$ and let M be a finite P-module. If $\mathfrak{n}^{t-1} \cdot M = 0$, then by [1, 3.3.5], the following formula holds:

$$\mathrm{P}^P_M(z) = \frac{\mathrm{P}^Q_M(z)}{1-z^2}.$$

Proposition 4.4. *Let R be as in* 3.5. *Then the following formulas hold:*

(1) $\mathrm{P}^R_{R/\mathfrak{m}^j}(z) = \dfrac{\mathrm{P}^Q_{R/\mathfrak{m}^j}(z)}{d_R(z)}$ *for all* $j \leq t-1$;

(2) $\mathrm{P}^R_{\mathfrak{m}^j}(z) = \dfrac{\mathrm{P}^Q_{\mathfrak{m}^j}(z)}{d_R(z)}$ *for all* $j \geq r+1$.

(3) $\mathrm{P}^R_{\mathfrak{m}^j}(z) = \dfrac{\mathrm{P}^Q_{\mathfrak{m}^j}(z) - z^e(1+z)}{d_R(z)}$ *for all* $j \leq r$;

Proof. Since $t = v(R)$, we have $R/\mathfrak{m}^j = Q/\mathfrak{n}^j$ for all $j \leq t$.

(1) By 4.3 we have that

$$\mathrm{P}^P_{R/\mathfrak{m}^j}(z) = \frac{\mathrm{P}^Q_{Q/\mathfrak{n}^j}(z)}{1-z^2} \quad \text{for all } j \leq t-1 \tag{4.4.1}$$

and

$$\mathrm{P}^P_k(z) = \frac{\mathrm{P}^Q_k(z)}{1-z^2}. \tag{4.4.2}$$

Combining (3.7.2) with (4.4.1) and (4.4.2) yields

$$\mathrm{P}^R_{R/\mathfrak{m}^j}(z) = \mathrm{P}^Q_{R/\mathfrak{m}^j}(z) \cdot \frac{\mathrm{P}^R_k(z)}{\mathrm{P}^Q_k(z)} \text{ for all } j \leq t-1. \tag{4.4.3}$$

The desired formula follows by using 4.2.

(2) The proof is similar to (1): Since $\mathfrak{n}^{t-1} \cdot \mathfrak{m}^j = \mathfrak{m}^{t-1+j} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{t+r} = \mathfrak{m}^{s+1} = 0$ for all $j \geq r+1$, another application of 4.3 yields

$$\mathrm{P}^P_{\mathfrak{m}^j}(z) = \frac{\mathrm{P}^Q_{\mathfrak{m}^j}(z)}{1-z^2} \text{ for all } j \geq r+1. \tag{4.4.4}$$

Combining (3.7.1), (4.4.4), (4.4.2), and 4.2 gives the result.

(3) We assume first that $j \leq t-1$. Since $\mathrm{P}^R_{R/\mathfrak{m}^j}(z) = 1 + z\,\mathrm{P}^R_{\mathfrak{m}^j}(z)$ we have the first equality below:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}^R_{\mathfrak{m}^j}(z) &= \frac{\mathrm{P}^R_{R/\mathfrak{m}^j}(z) - 1}{z} = \frac{\frac{\mathrm{P}^Q_{R/\mathfrak{m}^j}(z)}{d_R(z)} - 1}{z} \\
&= \frac{1 + z\,\mathrm{P}^Q_{\mathfrak{n}^j}(z) - d_R(z)}{z d_R(z)} \\
&= \frac{1 + z\left(\mathrm{P}^Q_{\mathfrak{m}^j}(z) - \mathrm{P}^Q_R(z) + 1\right) - \left(1 - z(\mathrm{P}^Q_R(z) - 1) + z^{e+1}(1+z)\right)}{z d_R(z)} \\
&= \frac{\mathrm{P}^Q_{\mathfrak{m}^j}(z) - z^e(1+z)}{d_R(z)}
\end{aligned}$$

The second equality is given by the formula in part (1), the third equality uses $\mathrm{P}^Q_{R/\mathfrak{m}^j}(z) = \mathrm{P}^Q_{Q/\mathfrak{n}^j}(z) = 1 + z\,\mathrm{P}^Q_{\mathfrak{n}^j}(z)$, and the fourth equality is given by Lemma 4.1(1) and the formula for $d_R(z)$ given in 4.2.

Assume now $j = t$. (Since $j \leq r$, this implies $r = t$ which can happen only when $s = 2t-1$). From the proof of Theorem 3.3 we have that

$$0 = z\,\mathrm{P}^R_{\mathfrak{m}^{r+1}}(z) - a_r\,\mathrm{P}^R_k(z) + \mathrm{P}^R_{\mathfrak{m}^r}(z).$$

We have thus:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{P}^R_{\mathfrak{m}^r}(z) &= -z\,\mathrm{P}^R_{\mathfrak{m}^{r+1}}(z) + a_r\,\mathrm{P}^R_k(z)\\ &= -z\frac{\mathrm{P}^Q_{\mathfrak{m}^{r+1}}(z)}{d_R(z)} + a_r\frac{\mathrm{P}^Q_k(z)}{d_R(z)}\\ &= \frac{\mathrm{P}^Q_{\mathfrak{m}^r}(z) - z(1+z^e)}{d_R(z)}\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is given by part (1) and (2) proved above, and the last equality is given by Lemma 4.1(2). □

Proposition 4.5. *Let R be as in* 3.5. *Then the following hold:*

(1) *If $s = 2t-1$ is odd, then $\operatorname{Tor}^R_i(\nu_t, k) \neq 0$ for infinitely many i.*
(2) *If j is such that $s \geq j \geq r+2$, then $\operatorname{Tor}^R_i(\nu_j, k) = 0$ for all for $i < e$, and $\operatorname{Tor}^R_i(\nu_j, k) \neq 0$ for infinitely many $i \geq e$.*

Proof. We first introduce notation to simplify the expressions in the proof. Recall that $a_j = \operatorname{rank}_k(\mathfrak{m}^j/\mathfrak{m}^{j+1})$. We set:

$$\begin{aligned}A^R_{\mathfrak{m}^j}(z) &= (1+z)^{-1}\cdot\left(z\,\mathrm{P}^R_{\mathfrak{m}^{j+1}}(z) - a_j\cdot\mathrm{P}^R_k(z) + \mathrm{P}^R_{\mathfrak{m}^j}(z)\right)\\ A^Q_{\mathfrak{m}^j}(z) &= (1+z)^{-1}\cdot\left(z\,\mathrm{P}^Q_{\mathfrak{m}^{j+1}}(z) - a_j\cdot\mathrm{P}^Q_k(z) + \mathrm{P}^Q_{\mathfrak{m}^j}(z)\right)\end{aligned}$$

As given by (3.4.1), $A^Q_{\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}}(z)$ is the Hilbert series of $\operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{Tor}^Q_*(\nu_t, k))$ and $A^R_{\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}}(z)$ is the Hilbert series of $\operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{Tor}^R_*(\nu_t, k))$.

(1) Recall that if $s = 2t-1$ then $r = t$. We have the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}(1+z)A^R_{\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}}(z) &= z\cdot\mathrm{P}^R_{\mathfrak{m}^t}(z) - a_{t-1}\cdot\mathrm{P}^R_k(z) + \mathrm{P}^R_{\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}}(z)\\ &= \frac{\mathrm{P}^Q_{\mathfrak{m}^t}(z) - z^{e+1}(z+1)}{d_R(z)} - \frac{a_{t-1}\,\mathrm{P}^Q_k(z)}{d_R(z)} + \frac{\mathrm{P}^Q_{\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}}(z) - z^e(z+1)}{d_R(z)}\\ &= \frac{(1+z)A^Q_{\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}}(z) - (1+z)(z^e + z^{e+1})}{d_R(z)}\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is given by Proposition 4.4(3) and 4.2. Hence

$$A^R_{\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}}(z)\cdot d_R(z) = A^Q_{\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}}(z) - (z^e + z^{e+1}). \tag{4.5.1}$$

Since $\operatorname{pd}_Q(k) = e$, we have that $A^Q_{\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}}(z)$ is a polynomial of degree at most e. Therefore the right side of (4.5.1) has nonzero terms in degree $e+1$. Since $d_R(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $e+2$, it follows that $A^R_{\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}}(z)$ has infinitely many nonzero terms in degrees greater than or equal to $e+2$. Since $A^R_{\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}}(z)$ is a Hilbert series with positive coefficients, $(1+z)A^R_{\mathfrak{m}^{t-1}}(z)$ has infinitely many nonzero terms. Using 3.4, we conclude that $\operatorname{Tor}^R_i(\nu_t, k) \neq 0$ for infinitely many i.

(2) Assume $s \geq j \geq r+2$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}(1+z)A^R_{\mathfrak{m}^{j-1}}(z) &= z\cdot\mathrm{P}^R_{\mathfrak{m}^j}(z) - a_{j-1}\cdot\mathrm{P}^R_k(z) + \mathrm{P}^R_{\mathfrak{m}^{j-1}}(z)\\ &= z\cdot\frac{\mathrm{P}^Q_{\mathfrak{m}^j}(z)}{d_R(z)} - a_{j-1}\cdot\frac{\mathrm{P}^Q_k(z)}{d_R(z)} + \frac{\mathrm{P}^Q_{\mathfrak{m}^{j-1}}}{d_R(z)}\\ &= \frac{z^e(1+z)}{d_R(z)}\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is given by Proposition 4.4(2) and 4.2, and the third equality follows from Lemma 4.1(2). We have thus

$$d_R(z) \cdot A^R_{\mathfrak{m}^{j-1}}(z) = z^e. \tag{4.5.2}$$

An analysis of this relation, together with the formula for $d_R(z)$, shows that

$$A^R_{\mathfrak{m}^{j-1}}(z) = z^e \left(1 + \sum_{i>0} c_i z^i\right)$$

where c_i is a nonnegative integer for all $i \geq e$ and $c_i \neq 0$ for infinitely many values of i. Therefore $(1+z)A^R_{\mathfrak{m}^{j-1}}(z)$ has infinitely many nonzero terms in degrees greater than or equal to e. The conclusion follows from 3.4. □

Remark 4.6. For all $j < t$ we have that $\operatorname{Tor}^R_*(\nu_j, k) \neq 0$. Indeed, if $\operatorname{Tor}^R_*(\nu_j, k) = 0$ then ρ_j is small by 2.7 and 2.2(1), and this contradicts [19, Proposition 5.4].

Theorem 2.10 and Proposition 4.5 yield:

Corollary 4.7. *Let R be as in* 3.5. *If $s = 2t - 1$ we have:*

(1) $\rho_t \colon R \to R/\mathfrak{m}^t$ *is* **not** *Golod*
(2) $\rho_t \colon R \to R/\mathfrak{m}^t$ *is* **not** *small.*

Furthermore, if R is t-homogeneous, then

(3) *R is* **not** $\mathcal{K}_2$. □

Remark 4.8. We believe the conclusion that R is not $\mathcal{K}_2$ holds without the assumption that R is t-homogeneous, but we did not pursue this. (After all, as discussed in the beginning of Section 3, the condition that R is t-homogeneous is conjectured to hold generically, when s is odd.)

5. Conclusions and further questions

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a commutative local ring. Following the lead of Cassidy and Shelton [4], we say that R is $\mathcal{K}_2$ if the Yoneda algebra $\operatorname{Ext}_R(k,k)$ is generated by $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(k,k)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^2_R(k,k)$. Note that we adopt this definition for all local commutative rings, not necessarily for connected algebras.

Example 5.1. We say that R is a complete intersection if the ideal I in a minimal Cohen presentation $\widehat{R} = Q/I$ is generated by a regular sequence. Cassidy and Shelton [4, 9.2] showed that graded complete intersections are $\mathcal{K}_2$. In fact, the structure of the Yoneda algebra $\operatorname{Ext}_R(k,k)$ when R is a complete intersection is known, see for example Sjödin [21, §4], and in particular any local complete intersection is $\mathcal{K}_2$. It follows that for any $t > 2$ there exist $\mathcal{K}_2$ local rings R with $v(R) = t$ which are not t-homogeneous.

We introduce names for the various properties we are interested in, some of them depending on an integer $t \geq 2$, as follows:

($\mathcal{K}$): R is Koszul (meaning that $R^{\mathtt{g}}$ is a Koszul algebra);
($\mathcal{K}_1$): $\operatorname{Ext}_R(k,k)$ is generated in degree 1;
($\mathcal{K}_2$): $\operatorname{Ext}_R(k,k)$ is generated in degrees 1 and 2;
($\mathcal{L}_t$): $\operatorname{Tor}^R_*(\nu_t, k) = 0$; ($\mathcal{L}'_t$): $\operatorname{Tor}^R_*(\nu_j, k) = 0$ for all $j \geq t$;
($\mathcal{G}_t$): ρ_t is Golod; ($\mathcal{G}'_t$): ρ_j is Golod for all $j \geq t$;
($\mathcal{A}_t$): ρ_t is small; ($\mathcal{A}'_t$): ρ_j is small for all $j \geq t$;
($\mathcal{H}_t$): R is t-homogeneous.

The known connections among the properties already mentioned in this paper, together with results recorded in [19], show that for any local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ we have implications as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(\mathcal{L}_2) & \Longleftrightarrow & (\mathcal{G}_2) & \Longleftrightarrow & (\mathcal{A}_2) \\
\Uparrow & & \Uparrow & & \Updownarrow \\
(\mathcal{L}_2') & \Longrightarrow & (\mathcal{G}_2') & \Longrightarrow & (\mathcal{A}_2') \\
\Updownarrow & & & & \Updownarrow \\
(\mathcal{K}) & & \Longrightarrow & & (\mathcal{K}_1) \Longrightarrow (\mathcal{H}_2)
\end{array}$$

and, if R is a standard graded k-algebra (in which case $\mathfrak{m}$ denotes the maximal irrelevant ideal of R), then all of the implications given in the diagram become equivalences, except for the implication $(\mathcal{K}_1) \implies (\mathcal{H}_2)$.

Clearly, one has that $(\mathcal{K}_1) \implies (\mathcal{K}_2)$, but $\mathcal{K}_2$ rings are not necessarily 2-homogeneous.

When $t > 2$, the next theorem puts together known results and the results of this paper.

Theorem 5.2. *If $t \geq 2$ is an integer such that $v(R) \geq t$, then the following hold:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(\mathcal{L}_t) & \overset{(1)}{\Longleftarrow} & (\mathcal{G}_t) & \overset{(10)}{\Longleftarrow} & (\mathcal{A}_t) \\
{\scriptstyle (2)}\Uparrow & & {\scriptstyle (5)}\Uparrow & & {\scriptstyle (6)}\Updownarrow \\
(\mathcal{L}_t') & \overset{(3)}{\Longrightarrow} & (\mathcal{G}_t') & \overset{(4)}{\Longrightarrow} & (\mathcal{A}_t') \\
{\scriptstyle (8)}\Uparrow & & & & {\scriptstyle (9)}\Updownarrow \\
(\mathcal{K}) & \overset{(7)}{\Longrightarrow} & (\mathcal{K}_2) & \overset{(11)}{\Longleftarrow} & (\mathcal{K}_2) \textit{ and } (\mathcal{H}_t)
\end{array}$$

The implications (2), (3), (7) *and* (11) *are irreversible when $t > 2$, and they remain irreversible even when R is assumed to be a standard graded k-algebra.*

Proof. The implications (2) and (5) and (11) are trivial.

(1),(6),(9), and (10): See Theorem 2.10.

(3): See Levin's proof of [14, 3.15].

(4): See 2.2(1).

(7): If R is Koszul then R satisfies the property $(\mathcal{K}_1)$ by [5, Theorem 1], and hence $(\mathcal{K}_2)$.

(8): If R is Koszul then $(\mathcal{L}_2')$ follows from [19, Proposition 7.5]. Hence $(\mathcal{L}_t')$ holds as well.

If R is Koszul then R^{g} is a quadratic algebra, and hence R is 2-homogeneous by Lemma 1.3. Therefore $(\mathcal{K})$ cannot hold when $t > 2$. However, there exist t-homogeneous rings with $t > 2$ that satisfy $(\mathcal{K}_2)$; see Example 5.1. The fact that complete intersections are $\mathcal{K}_2$ also implies that there exist $\mathcal{K}_2$ rings which are not t-homogeneous; such examples can be chosen to be standard graded. Thus the implications (7) and (11) are irreversible.

The fact that (2) and (3) are irreversible follows from Proposition 4.5. □

The question whether the implications (4), (5), and (8) are irreversible remains open. We formulate this in somewhat different terms below:

Question 1. Let R be a t-homogeneous local ring. If ρ_t is Golod (and thus R is $\mathcal{K}_2$), does it follow that ρ_j is Golod for all $j \geq t$?

Note that Theorem 2.10 and Theorem 3.3 provide evidence towards a positive answer.

Question 2. Let R be a t-homogeneous $\mathcal{K}_2$ ring such that $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\nu_j, k) = 0$ for all $j \geq t$ and all $i \geq 0$. Does it follow that $t = 2$ (and thus R is Koszul)?

Based on the work in this paper, it seems that the answer to this question is positive: No counterexamples can be found among the class of compressed Gorenstein local rings of even socle degree, by Proposition 4.5. A possible source of counterexamples could come from the class of complete intersections. However, we expect a positive answer to Question 2 when R is a complete intersection; this expectation is based on the study of several special types of t-homogeneous complete intersection local rings for which we can prove $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\nu_{t+1}, k) \neq 0$, in the spirit of the example in [19, 9.5], and also Macaulay2 experiments.

6. Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Frank Moore for introducing the second author to the notion of $\mathcal{K}_2$ algebra, and Luchezar Avramov for suggestions regarding the exposition.

References

[1] L. L. Avramov, *Infinite Free Resolutions*, Six lectures on commutative algebra, (Bellaterra, 1996), Progr. in Math. **166**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998; 1-118.
[2] L. L. Avramov, *Small homomorphisms of local rings*, J. Algebra **50** (1978), 400–453.
[3] M. Boij, *Betti numbers of compressed level algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **134** (1999), 111-131.
[4] T. Cassidy, B. Shelton, *Generalizing the notion of Koszul algebra*, Math. Z. **260** (2008), no. 1, 93–114.
[5] R. Fröberg, *Koszul algebras*, Advances in commutative ring theory, (Fez, 1997), 337-350, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. **205** (1999).
[6] E. S. Golod, *On the homologies of certain local rings*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **3** (1962), 745–748; translated from Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR **144** (1962), 479–482 [Russian].
[7] T. H. Gulliksen, *Massey operations and the Poincaré series of certain local rings*, J. Algebra **22** (1970), 223–232.
[8] T. H. Gulliksen, *A proof of the existence of minimal algebra resolutions*, Acta. Math. **120**, (1968), 53-58.
[9] I. B. Henriques and L. M. Şega, *Free resolutions over short Gorenstein local rings*, Math. Z. **267** (2011); 645–663.
[10] A. Iarrobino, *Compressed algebras: Artin algebras having given socle degrees and maximal length*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **285** (1984), 337-378.
[11] J. Herzog, S. Iyengar, *Koszul modules*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **201** (2005), 154–188.
[12] J. Lescot, *Séries de Poincaré et modules inertes*, J. Algebra **132** (1990), 22-49.
[13] G. Levin, *Finitely generated* Ext *algebras*, Math. Scand. **49** (1981), 161–180.
[14] G. Levin, *Local rings and Golod homomorphisms*, J. Algebra **37** (1975), 266–289.
[15] G. Levin, *Modules and Golod homomorphisms*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **38** (1985), 299–304.
[16] C. Löfwall, *On the subalgebra generated by the one-dimensional elements in the Yoneda Ext-algebra*, Algebra, algebraic topology and their interactions (Stockholm, 1983), Lecture Notes in Math., **1183**, Springer, Berlin, 1986; 291–338.
[17] S. B. Priddy, *Koszul resolutions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **152** (1970), 39–60.
[18] M. E. Rossi, L. M. Şega, *Poincaré series of modules over compressed Gorenstein local rings*, to appear, Adv. Math; arXiv:1211.6514.

[19] L. M. Şega, *Homological properties of powers of the maximal ideal of a local ring*, J. Algebra **241** (2001), 827–858.
[20] L. M. Şega, *On the linearity defect of the residue field*, J. Algebra **384** (2013), 276–290.
[21] G. Sjödin, A set of generators for $\mathrm{Ext}_R(k,k)$. *Math. Scand.* **38** (1976), no. 2, 199–210.
[22] G. Sjödin, *The Poincaré series of modules over Gorenstein rings with* $\mathfrak{m}^3 = 0$, Mathematiska Institutionen, Stockholms Universitet, Preprint **2** (1979).

Justin Hoffmeier, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Missouri, Kansas City, MO 64110, U.S.A.
E-mail address: jche60@mail.umkc.edu

Liana M. Şega, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Missouri, Kansas City, MO 64110, U.S.A.
E-mail address: segal@umkc.edu